

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 LAQUANDA HILL,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 SOCIAL SECURITY,

10 Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00871-NJK

ORDER

11 The Court previously granted Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and
12 dismissed her complaint with leave to amend. Docket No. 3. Now before the Court is Plaintiff's
13 amended complaint. Docket No. 4.

14 When a party seeks permission to pursue a civil case *in forma pauperis*, courts screen the
15 complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). With respect to social security appeals specifically, judges
16 in this District have outlined some basic requirements for complaints to satisfy the Court's
17 screening. First, the complaint must establish that administrative remedies were exhausted
18 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and that the civil action was commenced within 60 days after
19 notice of a final decision. Second, the complaint must indicate the judicial district in which the
20 plaintiff resides. Third, the complaint must state the nature of the plaintiff's disability and when
21 the plaintiff claims to have become disabled. Fourth, the complaint must contain a plain, short,
22 and concise statement identifying the nature of the plaintiff's disagreement with the determination
23 made by the Social Security Administration and show that the plaintiff is entitled to relief. *See,*
24 *e.g., Graves v. Colvin*, 2015 WL 357121, *2 (D. Nev. Jan. 26, 2015) (collecting cases).

25 Although the Court liberally construes the filings of litigants proceeding without an
26 attorney, *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007), Plaintiff's complaint does not include most
27 of the required information. Plaintiff only identifies her claims for disability and provides none of
28 the other information.

